UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/097,023	06/12/1998	JILL MCFADDEN	1001.1566101	2472
	7590 10/10/200 SEAGER & TUFTE, L	EXAMINER		
1221 NICOLLE SUITE 800		MACNEILL, ELIZABETH		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/097,023	MCFADDEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	EEIZ/ (DETITIVE IVI) (OTTEIEE	0101
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 cension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as te of the final rejection, even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in between the content of the content of	nsideration and/or search (see NO¯ w);	ΓE below);
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consider because: See Continuation Sheet.	ered but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for allowance
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767	/Elizabeth R MacNeill/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767	

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Because the knit of Anderson is constrained by the liner of Samson, it is not capable of expanding in the catheter. The choice of a single-strand knit and a multiple strand knit is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since both knits serve the same purpose of altering the flexibility of the catheter. Additionally, the applicant has not stated that the single stand solves a particular problem or serves a stated purpose, which makes it a prima facie case of obviousness to substitute a multiple and single strand braids.